

# Business

The New York Times

Article 2 of 25 in Business

◀ Previous  
Next ▶

[Skip to article](#)

[NYTimes.com](#)

[Go to a Section](#)

Welcome, [ctzcrank](#) - [Member Center](#) - [Log Out](#)

▶ **TimesSelect** FREE 14-DAY TRIAL!



SEARCH

<a href="#">Business Home</a>	<a href="#">Media &amp; Advertising</a>	<a href="#">World Business</a>	<a href="#">Your Money</a>	<a href="#">Markets</a>	<a href="#">Company Research</a>	<a href="#">Mutual Funds</a>	<a href="#">Stock Portfolio</a>	<a href="#">Columns</a>
-------------------------------	---	--------------------------------	----------------------------	-------------------------	----------------------------------	------------------------------	---------------------------------	-------------------------

## Lawmakers Seek Inquiry on Pensions

•

[E-Mail This](#)

- [Printer-Friendly](#)
- [Reprints](#)
- [Save Article](#)

By [MARY WILLIAMS WALSH](#)

Published: December 1, 2005

Two members of the House of Representatives asked the research arm of Congress yesterday to investigate whether the federal agencies that enforce pension law have failed to police a crucial part of the pension business: the consultants and money managers who help decide how money is invested.

The request by Representatives George Miller, a California Democrat, and Edward J. Markey, Democrat of Massachusetts, underscores a flaw in the pension law.

The law divides the authority for pension plans among three federal agencies, which look at such issues as whether companies are putting enough money into pension funds and whether they are keeping employees informed about the plans. But the money managers are regulated by a fourth agency, the Securities and Exchange Commission, which has no authority over pension law.

This regulatory problem is as old as the pension law, 31 years. But it has become apparent only recently, as a series of record-size corporate pension failures has put new burdens on the federal agency that insures pensions. Even now, with Congress trying to close loopholes in the

pension law, the focus has been on how to make sure companies put enough money into their pension funds, not how the money is invested after that.

In a letter to David M. Walker, the head of the Government Accountability Office, Congress's investigative arm, the congressmen pointed to the collapse this year of the employee pension plans at United Airlines.

They noted that a union that represents some United employees, the Aircraft Mechanics Fraternal Association, had specifically asked federal regulators to look into whether United's pension consultant had been acting solely in the interests of the plan participants, as the law requires, or had been steering blocks of pension money to certain money managers for business reasons.

The union put its request last summer to the Pension Benefit [Guaranty Corporation](#), which guarantees company pensions, and to the labor secretary, Elaine L. Chao, who is chairwoman of the guaranty corporation.

"We understand that the P.B.G.C. has to date declined to undertake a forensic audit of United's plan to ascertain whether there were, in fact, conflicts of interest, hidden financial arrangements and unlawful activities," the representatives wrote.

They said this was particularly disturbing because United's pension failure came at about the same time as the S.E.C. issued a critical report on the pension consulting business.

The report, based on an 18-month review, found that more than half the pension consultants in the S.E.C.'s sample were being paid by money management firms, even as they claimed to be screening and selecting money managers objectively on behalf of their pension fund clients.

The S.E.C. referred about a dozen of the consultants to its enforcement division for further action, but it did not name them.

The two representatives said the S.E.C. report raised questions about whether conflicts of interest among United's consultants and its money managers had contributed to the failure of its pension fund. That failure caused record losses of about \$10 billion, which will be borne by the Pension Benefit Guaranty Corporation and the employees of United.

Not only had the guaranty corporation not made a review of United's consultants and money managers, they wrote, but "we are not aware of any plans by the P.B.G.C. to systematically assess whether pension consultant conflicts of interest or undisclosed financial relationships existed at any of the terminated plans now under its control."

The representatives said they had been asking the S.E.C. whether any of the pension consultants referred to the commission's enforcement division were used by United's pension fund, but so far they had not received an answer. They also asked the Government Accountability Office to determine whether the pension agency had used any of those consultants.

A spokesman for the accountability office confirmed that it had received the request for an investigation and would work with the lawmakers on defining the scope and timing of an investigation.

The pension guaranty corporation has taken over nearly 4,000 defunct pension plans in the last three years, digging itself into a \$23 billion hole. The guarantor is not at risk of running out of money anytime soon, but Congress has been working on legislation that would improve its finances as well as close the loopholes in the rules that govern how much money companies set aside for their pension plans. But progress has been slow.

The pension law requires the officials of company pension plans to make sure the money is invested with "care, skill, prudence and diligence." In practice, many plan sponsors work with outside consultants, who help them devise an asset-allocation strategy and pick outside money managers to carry it out.

This division of labor appears to be causing confusion about which regulatory agency should monitor the investment of pension money.

[Next Article in Business \(2 of 25\) >](#)



[Get The New York Times for as low as \\$2.90 a week.](#)

## Related Articles

- [Sound, Fury, Pension Rules: Nasty Party Clash in House](#) (July 19, 2003)
- [House Panel Acts on Pension Calculations](#) (July 19, 2003)
- [Congress Returning to Take Up Domestic Security Plan and Iraq](#) (September 3, 2002)
- [ENRON'S MANY STRANDS: THE ENERGY MARKET; Signs Enron Bet on Price Increase Before California Power Shortage](#) (April 11, 2002)

## Related Searches

- [Pensions and Retirement Plans](#)
- [House of Representatives](#)
- [Government Accountability Office](#)

## Inside NYTimes.com



### [Riding His Heart Out](#)



### [Stars Sign On for 'Bobby'](#)



### [Dress Like Your Dad? He Rocks](#)



## [Petrified Wood Furniture](#)



## [Mapmakers and Mythmakers](#)

### Advertisement

## **Most E-Mailed Articles** *The New York Times*

[Past 24 Hours](#) | [Past 7 Days](#)

1. [Being a Patient: When the Doctor Is in, but You Wish He Weren't](#)
2. [The Consumer: Poisonings From a Popular Pain Reliever Are Rising](#)
3. [Deadheads Outraged Over Web Crackdown](#)
4. [The Nation: Kids Gone Wild](#)
5. [In Desire to Grow, Colleges in South Battle With Roots](#)

[Go to Complete List](#)

1. [Gimme an Rx! Cheerleaders Pep Up Drug Sales](#)
2. [The Consumer: Poisonings From a Popular Pain Reliever Are Rising](#)
3. [Just Try to Sleep Tight. The Bedbugs Are Back.](#)

4. [Holiday Books: 100 Notable Books of the Year](#)
5. [The Nation: Kids Gone Wild](#)

[Go to Complete List](#)

SPONSORED BY

**Automobiles**  
[nytimes.com/autos](http://nytimes.com/autos)

**WHAT'S OLD  
IS NEW**

**WHAT'S OLD  
IS NEW**



[Chevy's going back to the glory days of the 1950's](#)

Also in Autos:

- [➔ 2006 Ford Mustang](#)
- [➔ 2006 Jaguar XJ-Series](#)
- [➔ 2006 Honda Civic](#)

## Advertisements

- [Free Online Investment Guides](#)

[Investment help from T. Rowe Price](#)

- [\\$7 Trades, No Inactivity Fees & Free In-depth Research. Scottrade.](#)
- [Earn 4.00% APY with hsbcdirect.com Online Savings. Member FDIC.](#)
- [Vanguard 100 No Load Funds](#)

[Learn more at:](#)

<https://www.vanguard.com>

**The New York Times STORE**



[Purple Heron fine art print](#)

[Copyright 2005 The New York Times Company](#) [Home](#) [Privacy Policy](#) [Search](#) [Corrections](#) [XML](#) [Help](#) [Contact Us](#) [Work for Us](#) [Site Map](#)  
[Back to Top](#)