



[Home](#)

[Top Stories](#)

[Columnists](#)

[Blogs](#)

[Bloggers](#)

[Multimedia](#)

[Discuss](#)

[RSS](#)

[Donate](#)

[About](#)

[!\[\]\(95b425611cbd2b8716a140cf67c81822_img.jpg\) **Subscribe to AlterNet:**](#)

- **100 Die In U.S. Custody**

[Human Rights First](#) has put together this straight-talking well-researched [report](#) about the 98 detainees in U.S. custody who have been murdered or "disappeared." They carefully document how the people responsible for torture and homicide have gotten away with murder.

- **Background**

[**The National Network for Immigrant and Refugee Rights**](#)

[NNIRR](#) provides educational, activist, and legal resources on immigration, migration, and refugee rights in the United States.

[**New ACLU Report Say Surveillance Society Becoming Reality**](#)

The United States has now reached the point where a total "surveillance society" has become a realistic possibility, the American Civil Liberties Union warned in a report released this week.

- **Recommended Sites**

[Patriot Watch](#)

Want to know what the code red threats to freedom are this week? Patriot Watch keeps an eye on our government with a regularly updated blog and offers weekly action alerts about threats to civil liberties.

[Civil Liberties](#)

A collection of writings by Cato Institute scholars on civil liberties with respect to the war on terrorism.

[10 Ways to Fight Hate](#)

Take action to stop violence and prejudice against groups and individuals because of race, religion, disability, or sexual orientation.

[Civil Liberties on the Hill](#)

Keep up to date on what civil liberties issues are being discussed in Congress.

[Justice and Human Rights](#)

Amnesty International's resource page on post-9/11 violations of rights of citizens both within and outside the United States.

Premium Advertisement

Truth About Reproductive Health



Abstinence-Only?

Gag rules?

Contraception *causes* AIDS???

GET REAL! Get RHRealityCheck.org

RHRealityCheck.org... Point-of-view supported by hard facts. Your community on the web for the latest & best info on **Reproductive Health.**

New video of Jeffrey Sachs & others talking about RH & MDG's!

[Read More...](#)

[Run your ad in this premier spot!](#)

Basic Advertisement

Vote Now!




AlterNet.org invites you to [participate](#) in our first-ever progressive poll!

[Give us your opinions](#) on today's Most Valuable enter to win \$500 cash, or an iPod nano!

[Read More...](#)

Steve Freeman and Joel Bleifuss



Was the 2004 Presidential Election Stolen?

YES!

Extensively referenced by [RFK Jr. in Rolling Stone](#). Find out exactly how it happened.

[Read More...](#)

Give the perfect Father's Day gift




51 weeks of
The Economist
at 61% off the
newsstand price.

Get started

[Read More...](#)

ARMED MADHOUSE



From the Author of the New York Times Bestseller, **The Best Democracy Money Can Buy**.


"Greg Palast is the top investigative journalist in America -- and the funniest" - Randi Rhodes, Air America

"Upsets all the right people." - Noam Chomsky

[About The Book](#)

[Read More...](#)

Now Playing In Select Cities



Based on the best-selling novel, *Way of the Peaceful Warrior*, PEACEFUL WARRIOR stars Nick Nolte, Scott Mechlowicz, and Amy Smart.

When a talented athlete suffers a serious injury, he looks to a mysterious stranger to help him heal both his body and spirit.

[Read More...](#)

[Be 1 of up to 5 ads in this strip!](#)

Advertisement



Google Advertisement

- AlterNet Your turn

Support AlterNet

Do you value the information you're getting from AlterNet? Please show your support with a [tax-deductible donation](#).

Feedback

Tell us [how we're doing](#).

[Search:](#)



An Immigration Policy Ruled By Fear

By [John Tirman](#), [AlterNet](#). Posted [June 17, 2006](#).

In the years since 9/11, our government's rabid fear of terrorists has led to cruel, disproportionate and foolish policies.

Tools

 [EMAIL](#)

 [PRINT](#)

 [1 COMMENT](#)

[Editor's Note: This story is part of a series of [Audits of the Conventional Wisdom](#), a project of the

Center for International Studies at MIT.]

The attacks of September 11, 2001, transformed the landscape of global security, none more than borders and immigration. The topography of citizenship, belonging, and suspicion instantly changed for Arab and Muslim communities in the United States. They drew the sharp attention of U.S. law enforcement and intelligence services, and that continues. But the public's focus has swung south to scrutinize the U.S.-Mexican border as a source of insecurity. For the most part, the alarms about immigrants as threats are exaggerated. And the policy choices driven by these concerns -- much larger border security measures in particular -- are costly in a globalized economy and unnecessary for security in any case.

The ferocious law-enforcement reaction to 9/11 overwhelmed Arab and Muslim communities. At the same time, other immigrants, legal or not, were affected, and most of those migrants are from Latin America, particularly Mexico. So the initial focus of attention, reflecting the ethnicity of the 9/11 attackers, actually affected a much broader swath of people in or hoping to enter the U.S. Only now are we seeing the consequences of this sweeping vigilance.

Muslims in America, about equally from South Asia, the Middle East and North Africa, and Southeast Asia, were targeted along with their institutions. Several hundreds or thousands of men were detained for months or longer without being charged with crimes, and many were deported for minor infractions. Muslim charities were targeted by the FBI, with many of them closed down and a number of them prosecuted. Transnational labor migration was sharply curtailed. Student visas were more difficult to obtain. Mosques were and are under constant surveillance. Many Muslims and Christian Arabs felt intimidated about speaking out on foreign policy and security issues, particularly the Iraq war and the Israeli-Palestinian conflict.

The rationale for the U.S. government's action was that these people potentially support terrorism. Yet we now know, through the Report of the 9/11 Commission, that there were no domestic conspiracies of any significance at the time of the attacks, and there have been none revealed since. Of the more than 400 U.S. prosecutions of individuals on terrorism-related charges, virtually none charged were involved in a plot against America. "Another 500 people have been charged with immigration violations," said a Washington Post investigation last year, "after an initial report linking them to a terrorism or homeland security threat." Still, little or nothing has come to light suggesting a domestic conspiracy -- nor, indeed, terrorists coming into the country illegally.

Also in [Rights and Liberties](#)

[Fatal Desperation at Guantanamo](#)

Onnesha Roychoudhuri

[The GOP Forced Me to Have an Abortion](#)

Dana L.

[RFK Jr: Taking the Stolen Election Seriously](#)

Thom Hartmann

[Can Marriage Fix Poverty?](#)

Barbara Ehrenreich

[Breaking the Skins](#)

Susy Buchanan

More stories by [John Tirman](#)

Insecure borders

The effort to round up Muslim and other Arab men continues. It is preventative in many of its features, as with the Palmer raids of the 1920s: "a broad-based approach," writes legal scholar David Cole, seeking "to neutralize all persons who [the Justice Department] thought might pose a potential future threat. This preventive approach, unmoored from concepts of individual culpability, would prove to be a recurring feature of law enforcement in times of crisis." This legal aggressiveness, notably, proceeds simultaneously with efforts to tighten airport and seaport security, which have been roundly criticized as inadequate, inept, or fraught with corruption.

It also proceeds while the attention of the public has shifted. Due to a harsh immigration control bill passed by the House of Representatives -- which would make entry by unauthorized immigrants an aggravated felony -- a sharp, new focus on the security of the U.S. Mexican border is apparent.

Several factors are shaping the increasingly fractious debate about Mexican immigration. Security is most prominent: many politicians and commentators have posed the Mexican border as a security threat. Migration has long had security implications, but mostly linked to "social" security -- jobs, welfare, etc. Today it is the threat of terrorism that frames debate. The fear -- thus far, unfounded -- that al Qaeda will sneak across the "unguarded" 2,000-mile border accounts for the urgency. In fact, the House bill is called the Border Protection, Antiterrorism, and Illegal Immigration Control Act of 2005.

The security anxieties mix with the more ordinary opposition to Mexican migrants, a longstanding tendency in American history. Related to issues of overwhelmed border area hospitals and schools, competition for low-skilled jobs, and the effect on wages, this opposition focuses its ire on the 10-12 million who are "illegals." While the overall impact of immigration, including unauthorized workers, is a net positive for the U.S. economy, the localized effects can be difficult for border states, particularly as government support for social services has declined over time. The effect of unauthorized immigrants on wages of American workers, another hot-button issue, is uncertain.

So measures such as electronic fences, deployment of national guard troops, roundups of unauthorized workers in places of employment, and expanded border patrols are advocated to keep illegal immigrants out and provide an added shield against al Qaeda. Some have suggested the same for the Canadian border. But do such policies work?

Clash of globalizations

Such measures have not worked in the past with respect to Mexican workers. As migration theorist Douglas Massey points out, the higher levels of security in heavily trafficked areas such as San Diego merely dispersed the entry points as well as the unauthorized migrants once they were inside the U.S. In effect, he notes, these policies have transformed a "regional movement affecting three states into a

national phenomenon affecting all 50 states [and] a seasonal movement of male workers into a settled population of families." Because these heightened-security measures raise the costs of entry, the workers tend to remain in the United States much longer than they once did, while the overall numbers continue to climb.

This reflects the powerful relationship between immigration and economic globalization, including the loosening or elimination of borders, a feature of the North American Free Trade Agreement, or NAFTA. When debated in the early 1990s, NAFTA was pictured as a solution to illegal immigration. As scholar Peter Andreas observed several years ago, this "solution" actually "fuels such immigration in the short and medium term... The combination of NAFTA and the side-effects of Mexico's own domestic market reforms will add as much as several hundred thousand to the number of Mexicans who migrate to the United States annually though at least the end of the century." This has proved to be precisely correct.

Nor should it be surprising, since the integration of the North American economy was one NAFTA's goals, and that integration -- in trade, capital and investment, communications, legal harmonization, etc. -- must include the labor force as well. But another globalization, that of a worldwide security net and deterrent to violent, non-state actors, is at cross purposes with this long wave of economic reform. The "securitization" of migration, a global phenomenon of ever-expanding security envelopes, makes it much more difficult for migrants to cross borders, even as the world economy demands such movement.

Effects on communities

For Latinos in the United States, the perceived level of intimidation has gone up markedly since 9/11. In a lengthy survey of Californians taken a year ago, the University of Southern California reports that since 9/11, 55 percent of Hispanics felt "less secure." Eighty percent said they "worry more about the future" than before 9/11. Thirty-seven percent report making less money than before 9/11, and 72 percent of those attribute those losses to 9/11.

Interestingly, perhaps paradoxically, of those Middle Easterners polled in this survey, 42 percent said they feel less secure since 9/11; 70 percent worry more often; 29 percent say they are making less money. All of these are about 10 percentage points lower for Middle Easterners than for Latinos. The one exception is in racial or ethnic discrimination: significantly more than half of Pakistani, Iranian, and Arabic respondents say they have been victims, which is much higher than for Latinos. For all groups, remittances -- a source of income for developing countries that far exceeds official aid programs -- have dropped sharply.

These figures may reflect the impact of harsher immigration policies, rhetoric, news media coverage, and vigilante groups. "The 'collateral consequences' of such policies," writes migration scholar David Hernandez, "inflict hardships on immigrants' families," such as "financial and emotional distress, increased risk of fatal disease, and increased social risks to vulnerable children. Many of these

consequences of immigrant detention fly under the radar of public opinion or concern, and have been termed 'invisible punishment.'" This may be true particularly of a mixed-status family in which one or more family member is a citizen and one or more is not. This mixture characterizes one in four families in California and one in six in New York. The effects on families of criminalizing unauthorized immigrant workers would surely be devastating, especially for children, a very high percentage of whom are citizens.

A concern among some observers -- particularly in light of what we know of the terrorist bombings in Madrid and London and the alleged plot in Toronto -- is that deep disaffection among immigrant groups, aggravated by intense anger at wars in Iraq and Afghanistan particularly, create social volatility. A feedback loop of global scope may be feeding insecurity among immigrants and natives alike.

Solutions and non-solutions

The Department of Homeland Security, the most prominent domestic response to 9/11, is now seen as poorly planned and managed. Now it is likely to be given new border security tasks in response to the unsubstantiated concerns about the Mexican border spurred by a few politicians, anti-immigration groups, and supportive news media. DHS will post more border patrols and other highly visible (but ineffective) fixes. And like border militarization, making 11-12 million unauthorized immigrants into felons is a policy that cannot be implemented and would be haphazardly punitive. It is also unnecessary.

More promising ideas would forge a route to citizenship for the millions here and a guest worker program for those who wish to come. Both should appeal to those worried about security. The veneer of false identities would be stripped away from those here as they apply for citizenship. The criminal networks of human traffickers -- "snakes" and "coyotes" -- would be rendered useless by a guest worker program. (During the Bracero program, a guest worker scheme of 1942-64 occasioned by labor shortages of the Second World War, unauthorized immigration was reduced dramatically.) Border patrols can then focus on actual security matters, if any arise.

The security anxieties sparked by immigration are disproportionate to the actual problems posed. The arrest of people on legitimate terror lists was obviously an overdue measure. But otherwise there is little cause for alarm from immigrants. Economic opportunity, social cohesiveness, and national safety are not threatened by the ordinary labor migration that has enriched the United States for three centuries. Unauthorized immigration is well understood by scholars, and reasonably promising solutions are available. If the political process is working properly, the dislocations caused by previous mistakes in immigration policy should be readily and humanely correctible.

John Tirman directs the Program on Global Security & Cooperation at the Social Science Research Council in Washington DC.

[« Rights and Liberties](#)

Tools:

[✉ EMAIL](#)

[🖨️ PRINT](#)

[💬 1 COMMENT](#)

Comments

[Give Us Feedback »](#)

Tools: [\[Post a new comment\]](#) [\[Login\]](#) [\[Signup\]](#)

View:

bad to worse

Posted by: rsaxto on Jun 17, 2006 3:53 AM [\[Report this comment\]](#)

Instead solving problems the Bushies are making problems go from bad to worse. Their every "solution" is a ghastly move toward worse conditions and restricted civil liberties. It is simply cancer devouring the health and well being of most of us to magnify the greed of the selfish few. Impeach this negative process by Impeaching its criminal creators.

[\[« Reply to this comment\]](#) [\[Post a new comment »\]](#)

- [Home](#)
- [Archives](#)
- [Columnists](#)
- [Blogs](#)
- [Bloggers](#)
- [Multimedia](#)
- [Discuss](#)
- [RSS](#)
- [Donate](#)
- [About](#)
- [Advertise](#)

Reproduction of material from any AlterNet pages without written permission is strictly prohibited.
© 2006 Independent Media Institute. All rights reserved.