

- [News »](#)
- [Washington](#)
- [Government Guide](#)
- [Gallup Guru](#)
- [NewPolitics: Chuck Raasch](#)

Social Security hits first wave of boomers

Updated 1d 7h ago | Comments [647](#) | Recommend [58](#)

E-mail | Save | Print | Reprints & Permissions | [RSS](#)

By [Richard Wolf](#), USA TODAY



[Enlarge](#) By Dennis Drenner for USA TODAY

Kathleen Casey-Kirschling will get her first Social Security check in January. The occasion will be one small step for her, one giant leap for her generation. She is photographed on the back of her boat "First Boomer."

EARLEVILLE, Md. — When Kathleen Casey-Kirschling signs up for Social Security benefits Monday, it will represent one small step for her, one giant leap for her baby boom generation — and a symbolic jump toward the retirement system's looming bankruptcy.

Casey-Kirschling — generally recognized as the nation's first boomer (born in Philadelphia on Jan. 1, 1946, at 12:00:01 a.m.) — won't bankrupt the Social Security system by taking early retirement at 62. But after her, the deluge: 80 million Americans born from 1946 to 1964 who could qualify for Social Security and Medicare during the next 22 years.

The first wave of 3.2 million baby boomers turns 62 next year — 365 an hour. About 49% of the men and 53% of the women are projected to choose early retirement and begin drawing monthly Social Security checks representing 75% of the benefit they'd be entitled to receive if they waited four more years to retire.

In 2011, they'll turn 65 and be eligible for Medicare. In 2012, those who didn't take early retirement benefits will turn 66 and qualify for their full share.

"Once it starts to happen, and it's going to start in January, you're going to see millions of baby boomers starting to take it," says Casey-Kirschling, a retired seventh-grade teacher and nutrition consultant.

FIND MORE STORIES IN: [Congress](#) | [Democrats](#) | [Social Security](#) | [Medicare](#) | [Casey](#) | [Social Security benefits](#) |

Related Advertising Links

[What's This?](#)

AARP & The Hartford Auto Insurance Quote

Over 50? Save \$385 On Lifetime Renewable Auto...
[AARP.TheHartford.com](#)

Allstate Official Site

People who switched saved average of \$338. Get...
[www.Allstate.com](#)

Advertisement

E-mail features

E-mail newsletters

Sign up to receive our free Daily Briefing e-newsletter and get the top news of the day in your inbox.

E-mail

Select one: [HTML](#) [Text](#)

Breaking news E-mail alerts

Get breaking news in your inbox as it happens

TRUST FUND ENDANGERED

Congressional Budget Office | Boomer | [David Walker](#) | [Kirschling](#)

By 2030, Social Security's caseload will be 84 million people, up from 50 million today. Medicare will go from 44 million beneficiaries to 79 million. That will leave barely more than two workers paying payroll taxes for every retiree.

The boomer retirements have demographers, actuaries and economists worried as they prepare for an estimated \$50 trillion in future obligations over the next 75 years. Social Security will rise from 4% to 6% of the nation's economy. Medicare will go from 3% to 11%.

"This," says Brian Riedl of the conservative Heritage Foundation, "is the single greatest economic challenge of our era."

Medicare's hospital insurance fund now pays out more than it takes in. Barring action by Congress, Social Security will start doing so in 2017. In 2019, the hospital insurance fund is projected to run out of funds. In 2041, the Social Security Trust Fund will run dry.

All the while, Medicare's payments for doctors and prescription drugs are projected to rise faster than the nation's overall economic growth. Beneficiaries' premiums, deductibles and co-payments will rise faster than their incomes, the government says.

RETIREMENT AND BENEFITS

It's a coming financial implosion that Washington hasn't mustered the will to confront. Fixing Social Security solely with higher taxes or cuts in spending would mean a 16% increase in the payroll tax or a 13% cut in benefits. Medicare's needs would be far greater: a 122% payroll tax hike or a 51% reduction in spending, just for hospital care.

Each year action isn't taken, the prognosis gets worse and the cure more expensive. It's "the power of compounding," says David Walker, the nation's comptroller general. "Right now, it's working against us."

On this one issue, liberals and conservatives agree: It's an unsustainable path, it must be altered, and Democrats and

Age at which workers can retire with full benefits for people born after 1943:

Year of birth	Full retirement age
1943-54	66
1955	66 and 2 months
1956	66 and 4 months
1957	66 and 6 months
1958	66 and 8 months
1959	66 and 10 months
1960 and later	67

Retire early, or not?

Workers born any year can begin collecting reduced benefits at age 62. Amount of benefit they receive (for example, a person whose fullbenefit age is 67 would receive 70% of the full amount at age 62):

Years before fall benefit date	Percentage of benefit
5	70%
4	75%
3	80%
2	86.7%
1	93.3%

Source: Social Security Administration

Republicans must do it together.

"Partisanship on this issue is as foolish as a food fight on the Titanic," says Rep. Jim Cooper, D-Tenn. Adds Rep. Frank Wolf, R-Va.: "It's not red or blue."

So far Washington has done little.

President Bush and Congress cut taxes in 2001 and 2003, which has left federal revenue at a level that Walker says will not support promises to future retirees. Congress added \$768 billion over 10 years to Medicare in 2003 by creating a prescription-drug benefit. Two years later, lawmakers nicked Medicaid's projected cost by \$5 billion over five years, but the Congressional Budget Office still projects the program to grow by about 8% a year.

Bush tried to overhaul Social Security and create private investment accounts in 2005 but was blocked by Democrats, who said it would drain money from the Social Security Trust Fund. Last week, his administration renewed an effort to charge upper-income seniors more for Medicare's prescription-drug coverage — a plan Congress ignored earlier this year.

Now a few lawmakers and budget analysts are sounding the alarm. Three commissions have been proposed to study the issue, recommend changes and, in two cases, force Congress to vote.

Walker is headlining a group of analysts from the political left and right on a nationwide "Fiscal Wake-Up Tour," speaking to dozens of Rotary clubs and newspaper editorial boards.

Pollsters are holding focus groups in which citizens, once informed of the nation's fiscal future, usually say they'll accept tax increases or cuts in benefits.

ROUNDTABLE: Citizens share opinions on America's fiscal future

Casey-Kirschling recently moved with her husband, Patrick Kirschling, a university professor who turns 62 in March, into what had been their summer home on Maryland's Bohemia River. After years of working, they want the good life: time with family and friends, volunteer work, a villa in Florida and a 42-foot trawler to get them there. Its name: "First Boomer."

In deciding when to take Social Security benefits, the couple did the math and agreed Casey-Kirschling would take the money next year. They estimate she will get \$240 less per month than she would have if she waited four

years, but the money she'll receive — she wouldn't say precisely how much that will be — initially will stop her from having to tap other investments, she says.

"I could be dead next year," she says, "so why not take it this year?"

A retiree for every couple

The imbalance between workers and beneficiaries didn't happen overnight. In 1945, a decade after Social Security was created, there were 42 workers paying into the system for each retiree. Today, there are three. By 2030, Riedl says, "Every couple will have their own retiree to support."

Lawmakers have long known this. But in recent years, the short-term deficit picture has improved, masking the long-term problem.

The annual budget deficit dropped from \$413 billion in 2004 to about \$161 billion this year, but much is not included in that calculation: money owed to the Social Security Trust Fund, future federal and military retirement costs, obligations to veterans and more.

Nothing drives the problem home better than the baby boom generation. The impact of baby boomers on the Social Security and Medicare systems started in about 1990, when they began entering their 40s and were more prone to getting hurt or sick. The number of Americans claiming disability benefits doubled from 4.2 million in 1990 to 8.4 million in 2006.

"This has been going on for some years already," says Rick Foster, Medicare's chief actuary.

Now the boomers are readying for retirement. The Class of 1946 features some big names: President Bush, Laura Bush, former president Bill Clinton. (Hillary Rodham Clinton follows a year later.) Also turning 62 next year are five U.S. senators and 22 House members.

In each retiree's case, the decision on whether to take Social Security benefits now or later hinges on two issues: life expectancy and investment acumen. Those who take Social Security at 62 will get only 75% of their full benefit each month for the rest of their lives. Those who put off receiving the benefits get a higher percentage of their full benefit, up to 100% for those who wait until age 66 to retire. Those who wait up to age 70 can get 132% of their full benefit.

If you expect to live to a ripe old age, financial planners say, it may be worth waiting for the larger benefit at age 66 or later. But if you're investment-savvy or can put the money to good use now, it may be worth taking early retirement.

"Most people are claiming (benefits) in their early 60s," says Andrew Eschtruth of the Center for Retirement Research at Boston College. Average age: 63.

The actuaries at Social Security have accounted for such decisions. Because benefits are reduced for early

retirement, the choices retirees make won't affect the long-term solvency of the system, says Stephen Goss, Social Security's chief actuary.

The Medicare situation is far worse. As baby boomers age, so will the average age of beneficiaries, and with it the medical costs that accompany longevity. Recognizing that health care costs present the greatest threat to the federal budget and economy, the Congressional Budget Office has revamped its operation to find new ways to lower costs.

Taking the show on the road

While bickering over \$22 billion that Democrats want to add to Bush's 2008 budget of \$2.9 trillion, the White House and Congress realize bigger issues lie ahead.

"In 10 years, Social Security will turn upside down," says White House budget director Jim Nussle, referring to when paid benefits will outweigh taxes coming in. "Anything we can do to wake people up to this challenge is important."

That's where the "Fiscal Wake-Up Tour" comes in. The presentation by the traveling troupe of policy watchdogs — hardly anyone's idea of entertainment — has appeared in 22 states so far, seeking to ignite public interest and political action. "The American people are starved for two things: truth and leadership," Walker says.

Robert Bixby of the Concord Coalition, which organized the tour, opened a recent event in Manchester, N.H., with a reference to that state's first-in-the-nation presidential primary. "The first thing I want to do is assure you that none of us is running for president," he said. "After you hear what we have to say, you'll understand why."

During the next hour, the local chamber of commerce was treated to a series of PowerPoint presentations with arrows that invariably pointed the wrong way. Negative savings rates. Rising health care costs. An aging population.

Pushing aside her chicken and rice in the back of the room, Manchester insurance agent Kathy Sousa, 55, started jotting down fixes she would be willing to consider. The first one was profound: stopping heroic care for the terminally ill, which costs Medicare billions. "This gets to be a very emotional conversation," she said.

It's getting emotional in Washington as well. Even the debate over immigration is connected, because an estimated 12 million illegal immigrants make up a growing share of the payroll tax-paying workforce. The influx of immigrants helps to slow down the inexorable decline in the number of workers per retiree.

Cooper and Wolf last month proposed a panel that would force Congress to vote up-or-down on a fiscal fix — akin to the process now used to close military bases.

That's because the solutions aren't pretty: raising the retirement age for full Social Security benefits past 67, the current limit for people born in 1960 or later. Charging wealthier Medicare beneficiaries more — a new reality for doctors' care — or giving them less. Raising or eliminating the \$97,500 wage cap for payroll taxes. Perhaps all of

that and more.

Drinking tea on their porch, Casey-Kirschling and her husband say they're willing to do their part on behalf of their two daughters, who are socking money away for retirement because they don't expect much government help.

"I can't imagine what's going to happen with our children and our grandchildren," Casey-Kirschling says. "They're not going to be able to retire."

Posted 1d 21h ago

Updated 1d 7h ago

E-mail | Save | Print | Reprints & Permissions | [RSS](#)

*To report corrections and clarifications, contact Reader Editor **Brent Jones**. For publication consideration in the newspaper, send comments to letters@usatoday.com. Include name, phone number, city and state for verification.*

Conversation guidelines: USA TODAY welcomes your thoughts, stories and information related to this article. Please stay on topic and be respectful of others. Keep the conversation appropriate for interested readers across the map.

You must be logged in to leave a comment. [Log in](#) | [Register](#)

Comments: (647)

Showing:



JohnDoe2 wrote: 1h 20m ago

Tax all tobacco, all alcohol and all churches and put the money into Social Security and Medicare.

[Recommend](#) | [Report Abuse](#)



stljoe wrote: 8h 49m ago

Wow this is nonsense

"the Social Security system remains the most solvent and secure system ever."

That's like saying my wife is solvent because she has a billion dollar IOU from me. Of course if my assets are roughly 0 we are going to bankruptcy court together despite her IOU.

You are not solvent if your assets are IOU's from yourself. That is what social security assets consist of. They have nothing but the money the government has promised to pay itself.

I guess we have to assume the actuaries who run social security are wrong and those trillions in unfunded liabilities are fictitious. We have to assume that it's not problematic that social security will start paying out more than it collects in taxes in 10 years.

Solvent = no. Just ask the people running the system
Secure = no. Can be taken away entirely for any reason at any time by congress.

But hey keep trying. Perhaps someone will believe the propoganda that retiring on the strength of a political promise isn't a risky scheme.

[Recommend](#) | [Report Abuse](#)



ZoomZoom Diva wrote: 8h 56m ago

Sam Nettles, no matter what the income demographics are, the worker/retiree ratio is critical, and there is a need to build up a trust fund to cover future benefits. As far as pushing the elderly into poverty, Social Security was never intended to be the sole source of income, and was never intended to reflect an increase in national wealth. Where are the life savings of the elderly to keep them out of poverty?

[Recommend](#) | [Report Abuse](#)



ITSecurityPro wrote: 9h 12m ago

Instead of creating a new private account option, the current 401(k) should be used as the mandatory investment option for all employers, federal and non-federal. For this to work, every employer must provide their employees with full information on the investment options, plus information on how to make sound choices and what needs to be done to prepare for retirement. Then have annual or quarterly retirement/investment seminars to keep employees up-to-date on what they need to continue doing to prepare for retirement and managing their investments. While this is being done, Social Security should be left alone, there should not be anyone borrowing money from it. Also, changing it to be the same as the federal government retirement system could help with providing investment options that can have a greater return-on-investment. If everyone has an investment they keep track of, it can reduce the need for many more to require Social Security to live off of and that will help keep it stable until the numbers balance out once all baby boomers have retired and the number of workers per retiree gets higher than the projected 2 to 1.

[Recommend](#) | [Report Abuse](#)



steinmentz1 wrote: 17h 31m ago

Hey you wonderful people in Europe,
come see our beautiful country
and bring your Euros.

[Recommend](#) | [Report Abuse](#)



steinmentz1 wrote: 18h 3m ago

Hey c'mon who agrees with me?
Who disagrees?
Let's have it out!
I've been waiting for quite some time tonight.



steinmentz1 wrote: 18h 10m ago

Here in Chicago where I live, the Mayor wants to build many new schools because of classroom overcrowding. It's a beautiful city, Come see it and stay...Raise your kids here...Future taxpayers.

[Recommend](#) | [Report Abuse](#)



steinmentz1 wrote: 18h 16m ago

Remember; Illegal aliens can't draw SSI, but they contribute with their phony IDs, and they do spend what they earn.

[Recommend](#) | [Report Abuse](#)



steinmentz1 wrote: 18h 20m ago

And those kids will grow up, marry and have kids. It perpetuates! Taxpayers all!

[Recommend](#) | [Report Abuse](#)



steinmentz1 wrote: 18h 30m ago

By the way, last year on my block alone, I gave out 83 pieces of candy (each kid getting one piece). About 50-50 boys to girls, all ten and younger. There were many moms who looked pregnant. Future taxpayers.

[Recommend](#) | [Report Abuse](#)

[Recommend](#) | [Report Abuse](#)

[1](#) [2](#) [3](#) [4](#) [5](#) [6](#) [7](#) [Next](#) ▶

Sponsored Links

AARP & The Hartford Auto Insurance Quote

Over 50? Save \$385 On Lifetime Renewable Auto Insurance. Free Quotes!

AARP.TheHartford.com

Allstate Official Site

People who switched saved average of \$338. Get official quote now!

www.Allstate.com

\$150,000 Mortgage for \$483 a Month

Refinance and save \$1,000s! Receive up to 4 free quotes.

www.pickamortgage.com

Newspaper Home Delivery - Subscribe Today

[Home](#) • [News](#) • [Travel](#) • [Money](#) • [Sports](#) • [Life](#) • [Tech](#) • [Weather](#)

About USATODAY.com: [Site Map](#) | [FAQ](#) | [Contact Us](#) | [Jobs with Us](#) | [Terms of Service](#)

[Privacy Policy/Your California Privacy Right](#) | [Media Kit](#) | [Media Lounge](#) | [Press Room](#) | [Reprints and Permissions](#)

News Your Way: [\[Mobile News](#) | [\[Email News](#) | [\[Add USATODAY.com RSS feeds](#) | [\[Podcasts](#) | [\[Widgets](#)

Partners: [USA WEEKEND](#) | [Sports Weekly](#) | [Education](#) | [Space.com](#)

Copyright 2007 USA TODAY, a division of Gannett Co. Inc.